UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/563,694 | 01/05/2006 | Gerard A Friour | 85717ЈЈН | 3006 |
| 1333 7590 02/01/2010 EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY PATENT LEGAL STAFF 343 STATE STREET | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | CLARK, GREGORY D | |
| ROCHESTER, NY 14650-2201 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1794 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 02/01/2010 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| | 10/563,694 | FRIOUR ET AL. | | | |
| Notice of Abandonment | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | GREGORY CLARK | 1794 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | | | | | |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | • | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of N period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of N and N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply was received on, but it does not placed as a proper reply was received on, but it does not placed as a proper reply was received on, but it does not placed as a proper reply was received on, but it does not placed as a proper reply was received on | failing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on | <u> </u> | | | |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C | n consists only of: (1) a timely filed ar Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); o | nendment which places the | | | |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). | | | | | |
| (d) 🛮 No reply has been received. | | | | | |
| 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was, which is after the expiration of the statutory per | 5). received on (with a Certifica | ate of Mailing or Transmission dated | | | |
| Allowance (PTOL-85). | | | | | |
| (b) The submitted fee of is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due. | | | | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | | | | | |
| (c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no | n been received. | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). | | | | | |
| (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received. | | | | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. | e attorney or agent of record, the assi | ignee of the entire interest, or all of | | | |
| 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | | | | | |
| 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim | | e the period for seeking court review | | | |
| 7. 🖸 The reason(s) below: | | | | | |
| The examiner contacted Andy Anderson on 01/25/2 is abandoned. | 009 and confirmed that no respo | nse was filed; therefore, the case | | | |
| /D. Lawrence Tarazano/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794 | /GREGORY CLARK/ Examiner, Art Unit 1794 | | | | |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra | w the holding of abandonment under 37 (| CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to | | | |

minimize any negative effects on patent term.
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)